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Abstract

Since the early 1990s, nations like the United Kingdom and the United States and multilateral organizations like UNESCO and OECD were looking forward to promoting the quality of education research and linking bridges among education research, education policies, and schooling. The principal investigator focuses on the issues associated with nongovernmental education research agencies with a goal to improve the construction of nongovernmental education research agencies in Taiwan. To overcome the research purposes we mentioned in the beginning, the researcher collects an extensive literature review related to education research agencies, education research policies, education research quality indicators, and schooling first. Moreover, the author aims at the issue related to nongovernmental education research agencies in eight case countries or international organizations to analyze the problems and struggles along with education research policies, education research quality indicators, and education practice via field study and in-depth interview data collection methods in each case country. Finally, the researcher juxtaposes and compares the development and models of nongovernmental research agencies in the world along with current issues in Taiwan. By conducting a series of focus group discussion panels on the issues of nongovernmental research agencies, the researcher reflects on the recent situation of nongovernmental research agencies with the interaction among education research, education research policies, education research quality indicators, and education practices, and provide some suggestions for future strategic plans on the development of nongovernmental research agencies and education research in Taiwan.
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Introduction

Teacher education is a major tool governments all over the world rely on to deal with global and regional socialization and workforce development (Lauder 2006; Spivak, 2012). How to conduct effective educational research that can help foster and guide appropriate education policies leading to positive practices is a national and
nongovernmental organization (NGO) priority in the twenty-first century (Stedman 1985; Green 1997; Committee on Education and the Workforce 2012).

However, along with increased resources allocated to educational research from public, private, and nongovernmental sectors, there is also an increase in the connections among educational research agencies, policies, practices on accountability, and social reforms. For instance, in 1958, the National Research Council (NRC) drafted “A Proposed Organization for Research in Education” to suggest that the federal government establish an organization to monitor the quality of educational research in the United States (NRC 1958). In its What Works in Education? report, the RAND Corporation argued that educational research budgets in the United States did not generally allocate funding to discover and articulate professional knowledge in the field of education and schooling (President’s Commission on School Finance 1971). In 1972, Averch and colleagues (1972) claimed that most of the findings of current educational research could not provide “continuous” and “strict” evidence to improve the quality of students’ learning.

To address the critiques above, the U.S. federal government established the National Institute of Education (NIE) in 1972, and created the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) under the administration of the U.S. Department of Education in 1980. However, according to NRC’s (1999) strategic plan to outline purposes for education research and its utilization, the outcomes of educational research still relied too much on personal experiences and ideology. Furthermore, NRC also noted how education theories, research methods, and research design frameworks were either inadequate or not used appropriately.

Similar to the United States, in the United Kingdom, the Research Assessment Exercise (RAE) evaluated professional research communities in 1989 and found the education only scored 2.5 out of 5, second from the bottom among academic fields assessed. In 2008, the educational research assessment score decreased to 2.4 (RAE 2009). Moreover, OECD (1995) and James Tooley (1998) concluded that the patchwork of knowledge in the field of educational research was generally without rigor in terms of research methods and establishing appropriate research design frameworks.

To interpret the issues outlined above, a group of senior education researchers based in Taiwan and the United States conducted a three-year integrated comparative study of the interactions of educational research among different nations, international organizations, and other research agencies. First, the investigators conducted an extensive literature review of what nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) are doing in relation to educational research, with specific focus devoted to analyzing institutional reports on educational research. Second, the investigators interviewed several experts to better understand the interactions between nongovernmental educational research and its overall quality. Based on this second focus, the authors interpret the interconnections among the educational research of NGOs, policies, and practices.

The Quality of Education Research

Stokes (1997) pointed out that scientific research could be divided into four quadrants according to the quest for fundamental understanding and the consideration of use (see Figure 1). If a scientific research study could take care of both fundamental understanding and consideration of use, Stokes called it use-inspired basic research. If a scientific research study only focused on the question of fundamental understanding and ignored the consideration of use, it was called pure basic research. If a study only kept an eye on the consideration of use and ignored the question of fundamental understanding, it was called pure applied research. If a study did not cover either of these two quests or considerations, it was not regarded as a scientific research study.

Figure 1. Scientific Research Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consideration of Use</th>
<th>Quest for Fundamental Understanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Use-Inspired Basic Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pure Applied Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Basic Research</td>
<td>Not Considered Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted by the author from Stokes (1997, p. 73).

Following this vein, how could we best define educational research? Should educational research belong to pure basic research, pure applied research, or use-inspired basic research? Based on the RAND Report (RAND 1971) and the connection between educational research and educational practice in the Educational Research and Development: Trends, Issues, and Challenges (OECD 1995), educational research should be as close as possible to the use-inspired basic research area outlined by Stokes.

In reference to the quality of educational research in the United States, the NRC produced two reports—(1) Fundamental Research and the Process of Education in 1977 to ensure the importance of educational basic research, and (2) Creating a Center for Education...
Statistics: A Time for Action in 1986—to set up an educational organization to use federal educational Statistics. NRC also produced a report titled Research and Education Reform: Roles for the Office of Educational Research and Improvement to reflect on the mission of the federal government and the Office for Educational Research and Improvement and criticize many educational research projects as quick solutions to poorly understood problems (NRC 1992, p. viii).

In its Scientific Research in Education report in 2002, NRC provided further guidance about credible information in the field of education via rigorous and relevant educational research. Shortly afterwards, the US government legislated the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002 (ESRA), discontinued the OERI, and established the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) (NRC 2002).

According to the NRC (2002, pp. 3-5), there are six principles that should be included in all educational research initiatives:

1. Pose significant questions that can be investigated empirically,
2. Link research to relevant theories,
3. Use methods that permit direct investigation of the question,
4. Provide a coherent and explicit chain of reasoning,
5. Replicate and generalize across studies, and
6. Disclose research findings to encourage professional scrutiny and critique.

NGOs and Educational Research

Society can be interpreted as having three a distinct sector types: public sector, private sector, and non-profit sector (Berlie 2010; see Table 1). According to Kadzamira and Kunje (2002), NGOs are independent organizations separate from governmental cooperation. Jong Jun (1986, p. 117) identified six general features of most NGOs: (1) offer public services, (2) serve as a bridge between government and citizens, (3) provide direct services to their customers, (4) have flexible organizational structures, (5) open to learning about and accepting innovative and experimental ideas and programs, and (6) often play the role of public interest gatekeepers.

As of 2011, there were more than 40,000 NGOs in the United States, 277,000 NGOs in Russia, and 3,300,000 NGOs in India (Davaadorj 2011). With limited resources, education-oriented NGOs often tend to cooperate with governmental organizations via three different kinds of strategic alliances. The first kind is called horizontal strategic alliances, which stress similarities of backgrounds between governmental and nongovernmental organizations. Horizontal strategic alliances emphasize cooperation with equal educational inputs from both sides. Second, vertical strategic alliances, which outline the unequal relationship between governmental and nongovernmental organizations, where governmental organizations tend to be positioned above their NGO counterparts. The third is called quasi-vertical strategic alliances, which represent backgrounds and relationships between governmental and nongovernmental organizations that are in-between vertical and horizontal strategic alliances (Iqbal 2010; Kadzamira and Kunje 2002; Davaadorj 2011).

Table 1. Comparison Among Public, Private, and Nongovernmental Sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public Sector</th>
<th>Private Sector</th>
<th>Nongovernmental Sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy</td>
<td>Social Justice</td>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>Non Profit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Input</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>Donation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Making</td>
<td>By law</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Board Trustee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Customers only</td>
<td>Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Bureaucratic</td>
<td>Bureaucratic or charter</td>
<td>Small size of Bureaucratic model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Changeable</td>
<td>Changeable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizations</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Small to Large</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


After reviewing existing findings of NGOs, the principal investigator first outlines the non-governmental educational research agencies in the United States, European Union, and Australia in the existing literature. In the United States, research focused organizations include the American Educational Research Association (AERA), the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, the RAND Corporation, the Rockefeller Foundation, and the Ford Foundation. The EU conducts a series of research studies on public, private, and research and technology organizations (RTOs) and divides the missions into basic research, applied research, development, certification/standards, diffusion/extension, and provision of facilities (EURAB 2005). In Australia, the top education research NGOs include the Australian Council for Educational Research (ACER), the Australian Association for Research in Education (AARE), and the Western Australian Institute for Educational Research (WAIER).

Analysis and Discussion

Anthony Bryk, President of the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and Professor at the College of Education at Stanford University, analyzes the quality of
educational research in the United States and provides six meaningful findings:

1. Lack of sufficient educational research budget. Five to 15 percent of the total budget of the medical and mechanical engineering fields are allocated to Research and Development (R&D), and 20 percent of the R&D budget is allocated to support basic research, while the other 80 percent is used for design and systematic development. Unfortunately, the academic field of education could be seen as a big enterprise with a US$500 million budget, but less than US$1 million is used for R&D, which is less than 0.25 percent.

2. Most educational research is conducted inside higher education institutions (HEIs). However, traditional HEIs value theories more than practices, so there is less incentive for college researchers to conduct education research on practical problems.

3. The practical wisdom of schooling should be learned from daily life, but practitioners may lack research competency to translate this into professional knowledge.

4. Most school districts adopt short-term responsive models to implement teaching innovations.

5. Commercial sectors, including textbook and curriculum development and teacher professional development, do not play an important role in the field of educational research.

6. The financial support criteria from the federal and state governments distort the development of educational research. (Bryk and Gomez 2007, pp. 3-8)

Bryk’s (2008) critique of governmental educational research also pushes the development of nongovernmental educational research. According to Cheng (2013), nongovernmental educational research tends to focus on use-inspired pure research, purely applied research, and purely basic research. The relationship between nongovernmental and governmental educational research organizations could be horizontal, vertical, or quasi-vertical, and they often depend on committed centralized and decentralized governments. Furthermore, the European Commission plans to set up a European Research Area to encourage the cooperation of educational research agencies, foster innovative educational research ideas, educational research agency personnel, and leverage the capacities of researchers to increase the quality of educational research (European Commission 2011).

Conclusion

Regarding the issue of educational research quality, the publication of the Coleman Report in 1966 highlighted the interactions among students’ performance, school budgets, curricula, and their parents’ educational and social backgrounds. The Coleman Report had a huge impact on educational policies because the result was that some scholars have since argued that schools do not make a significant difference in student learning (Jencks et al. 1972).

After the Coleman Report, many educational researchers focused on how to provide valid evidence to prove that schools do matter (Loeb and Page 2000; Rutter 1982). Along with the discussion about effective teachers and high-performing schools, a series of educational researchers included the following as aspects of effective teaching: planning lessons carefully, selecting appropriate materials, making their goals clear to students, maintaining a brisk pace in lessons, checking students’ work regularly, and teaching the same material again when students have trouble learning (Cohen, Rqudenbush, and Ball 2002; Edmonds 1984; Stedman 1985).

The United States has a strong federal government, but educational policies and practices have historically been left to state and local governments, so that traditionally, the federal government has had a limited impact on educational policies and practices at the school district level. This has been the case until recent decades, when the balance shifted to federal intervention in educational policies nationwide. However, if we check the current Common Core movement in the United States, a centralized trend in the issues of a national curriculum and national testing have become the top priority (Cheng and Jacob 2015). How will nongovernmental educational research agencies face this new phenomenon as the federal government takes on this new role in educational research and practice? This is among the important follow-up research questions that the principal investigator plans to research next.
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